# **GCEH - MC2 Meeting Notes**

# September 10, 2018

# **Warming/Cooling Shelters**

- Survey results: 37 respondents for 22 different shelters: 9 specific warming, 4 specific cooling, and 9 joint warming/cooling
- No real consistency or trends across state
- Survey is still open for responses no close date
- Next steps? Keep discussion going on GCEH role in developing state-wide minimum standards.

### **Case Management Standards**

- Differentiate between basic (general) and intensive case management
  - o How do we define these?
- Goals: education about case management and setting standards
- Nest Steps? Get feedback from agencies on standards that are already in place- simple survey before October MC2 to have a starting point for discussion.

### Missouri Discharge Policy

GCEH has tasked MC2 to review Discharge Policy.

- 1) Review Discharge Policy for updates (at minimum, update HUD's definition).
  - a. Read Discharge Policy before October meeting and come to meeting with updates.
  - b. Discuss and compile changes at MC2 Meeting
- 2) Implementation Develop process for
  - a. promoting in local communities
  - b. creating buy-in across state

## **Racial Disparities**

This was in HUD CoC application this year. A lot of CoCs struggled with how to collect this data and just weren't prepared to adequately answer.

Discussion: How did CoC's look at data? What plans were implemented?

- Balance- look at ICA report which compared race/ethnicity for each project, Missouri Homelessness Study, and gaps analysis from Home Base.
- Joplin- Took section out of 2017 Missouri Homelessness Study, looked at 3 years of PIT Data, Census data, AHAR, and ICA provided reports on race and type of housing, which led to conclusion that there wasn't racial disparity. Will continue to look at disparity.

Need to keep this on radar to be more prepared for next year.

### **Main Stream Vouchers**

Not many awarded across the state. A few were awarded in Balance and a few in other CoCs.

Discussion: For CoCs outside of Balance, what was process?

• Joplin: Awarded 14. CoC worked to demonstrate need for application and vouchers will utilize referrals from CE for placement.

#### **HMIS Data**

MHDC had meeting with ICA to discuss improving data collection. Big take-away was that ESG CAPER data is very useful and easily accessible. Would like to develop similar reports for Trust Fund and Mo-HIP. Also interested in quarterly conference calls across state with HMIS leads to look at data. This would probably be implemented next year.

MHDC is also looking at how they can better collaborate with CoCs to communicate about grantee performance? When and how is information about agency performance shared back to CoCs?

#### **ESG Written Standards – Homelessness Prevention**

Made good progress with this portion- it helped that RRH standards were already complete. Group has had one conference call, went through entire document for changes, and has call scheduled for last week of September/last week of October. After that, will send out for Public Comment (ballpark early October). Anticipate vote in November or December.

Next – Street Outreach, Emergency Shelter. Keep people in mind who may want to serve on Street Outreach committee.

## CoC NOFA - DV Bonus

There was a lot of variety in how people applied - there will be a lot of good projects to come out of it. Everyone agreed that there needs to be more guidance and feedback, specifically around joint applications. What makes an application competitive?

- Balance several applicants CE and RRH
- Joplin didn't apply
- Springfield RRH
- St Louis- RRH