

## Meeting Minutes 5/21/2019

In attendance:

Steve Whitson  
Caty Field  
Richard Romero—MAAC  
Heather—Kansas City CoC  
Adam and Amanda—Springfield  
Jayna—ICA  
Lacy—ICA  
Shana Eubanks—Community Council of St. Charles  
Sherri Ellis—MARC  
Tammy Walker-- ESC

The group discussed the Program Performance Measures document and specifically addressed comments provided by the Missouri Housing Trust Fund Advisory Committee.

### Program Vs. Project

- Tammy- they are the same thing- if they are deemed eligible = entry date
- Jayna- Just need to train on the language
  - 3.10 HUD Data Elements- Project Start Date

### Assistance that runs through multiple grant periods

- 3.11 HUD Data Elements- Project Exit Dates
  - Refer to these and use this language

### Permanent Housing Destinations

- Change pick list to match? This cannot be done b/c HUD is the one who chooses what goes in the pick lists
- Non-permanent destinations? Not options based on HUD requirements
- HUD picks these destinations because they relate and can alter other reports
- Shauna- keep as is, everyone is familiar with those options and we don't want to confuse users by adding different forms for different programs

### Define Programs (i.e. Housing Assistance as RRH)

- Just ensure they are consistent across all regions

### Exiting & Re-enrolling Multiple times

- The closing date is going to have more of an impact
- Right now the last exit date is looked at for all measures- HUD system performance measures uses this date
- If a client is enrolled at the end of a grant period, they are not considered a "leaver"

### Increase in Income for EA

- HA- families don't engage in case management so it is often hard to track this
- Many times there is not an increase, rather a decrease

### Implementation for 2019?

- We will have to look at the following and see if it can be done
  - Training agencies
  - Changing any HMIS reports/forms
  - Who's going to pay for these new reports to be created?